

## Comments for Planning Application PL/2022/01402/MINFDW

devmanagement@solihull.gov.uk <devmanagement@solihull.gov.uk>

Mon 12/09/2022 09:15

To: Clerk <clerk@hamptoninarden.org.uk>

## Comments for Planning Application PL/2022/01402/MINFDW

Dear Sir/Madam,

Mrs Julie Barnes,

You have been sent this email because you or somebody else has submitted a comment on a Planning Application to your local authority using your email address. A summary of your comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 12/09/2022 9:15 AM from Mrs Julie Barnes.

### Application Summary

Address: 21A Meadow Drive Hampton In Arden Solihull B92 0BD

Proposal: Construction of two storey dwelling.

Case Officer: Benn Watkinson

[Click for further information](#)

### Customer Details

Name: Mrs Julie Barnes

Email: clerk@hamptoninarden.org.uk

Address: Fentham Hall, Marsh Lane, Hampton In Arden, Solihull B92 0AH

### Comments Details

Commenter Type: Councillor

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: Response to 21A Meadow Drive (PL 2022/01660)

We are aware that a number of affected residents have expressed concerns about this development within the garden of 21A Meadow Drive. We recognise that residential backland developments have a role to play in delivering housing targets for the Borough. However, they also have the potential to create significant adverse impacts in the communities where they are located. This development would be outside the Conservation Area but within the Inset Area, and therefore would add to the extent and quality of housing stock. However, the access is not straightforward. We would therefore seek an assurance from SMBC that they have established that this proposal is in line with their policy as set out

in Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) Residential Backland Development, which we fully support.

We assume that Network Rail has given permission for access along the unadopted Station Road, otherwise this proposal would be academic. We have some concerns about the extent of vegetation clearance proposed, and although we believe there are no TPOs, we would appreciate it if the Case Officer could seek some amelioration of the proposed tree loss, and/or a level of tree replacement.

---

Kind regards

\*\*\*\*\*

**DISCLAIMER:**

'This e-mail and files transmitted with it may contain information which is private and confidential and must be handled accordingly. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and delete the message and any attachments. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Solihull Council unless explicitly stated otherwise. Solihull Council may monitor the contents of e-mail sent and received via its network, for the purposes of ensuring compliance with its policies, procedures and any legal obligations. Please note if we receive a request to access information e.g. under the Freedom of Information Act or data protection legislation, the contents of e-mails may have to be disclosed to third parties. If you would like to learn more about how the council uses information please refer to the council's website'

**Email Security**

We use Transport Layer Security (TLS) to encrypt and protect email traffic. If your mail server does not support TLS, you should be aware that any emails you send to, or receive from us, may not be protected in transit.

\*\*\*\*\*